SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6853**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Potential and feasability of solid municipal waste treatment with gasifiers in a developping country: a review for Burkina Faso**
Type of the Article	**Review**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	There were few grammatical errors that need to be corrected. The figures in the Tables need to be more statistically analysed. For example, Table3(line 167) could have brought out more facts if tools like regression and correlation were used to show relationships between the dependent variables (waste generation) and the independent variables (populations of the cities)	
Optional/General comments	There is a room for great improvement , after the corrections the paper could be considered for publication.	